Dear Jim,

11/25/75

While I await a call from a Mimaim station on which I'm to broadcast I want to make or begin making a few comments on the pressures you now have. You have to have had them longer than you realize and I addressed them, as delicately as I then could, years gao, because I knew they would under any circumstances become inevitable. At the latest this was when you moved into your present home.

A solution means something with which everyone can live. It also means for you personally making the minimum compromise possible.

Nowgo back to when I started suggesting the filing of suits for me from which the collection of cash restitution for real damages is possible. I even had a sequence of them for you, beginning with those where there would be least work.

Simondstein, for example.

Then those where greater claims could be made and success possible. Dell is one example. (We should now both read Lane's new intro first.)

Williams is open and shut if you do not let the statuebrun. The only problem is his reputation, fame, connections.

I am aware of the problems presented, at least enough not to have pressed.

(This is hours laters. JimMcKinley's researcher has spent the day here.)

Nor to have intruded with recommendations. I could still make one or two.

You face problems that have not been easy for me: what do you not do?

This, of oourse, is your decision, and I am nit unaware of the complexities.

However, based on what I know, your immediateoe probabilities lie in the possible suits.

On Williams I will make, if you want it, a specific recommendation, subject to your apporval. I believe this should not be delayed too long.

We really do not have too much work to do on FBI or CIA and both hold the possibility of restitution under the law.

Time may be one of the factors. But promise is another.

Meanwhile, I do beliebe you are going to gave to forgo some of those extra, non-legal interests that have taken your time. There is only so much we can do.

I have not yet seen Lane's book. There was overt plagiarism in the first and second. From his past they have a)not been eliminated and b)are in my Dell editions. In addition, I ithink we should not forget Howard and Dave as independent experts. The discovery possibilities alone are limitless and I do have files. I think we should all go over a) the new material and b) the areas of plagiarism as soon as possibble. Problems do not include Lane's record of thievery or proof but relevance to Dell's old crookedness. Unless you want to file against Lane separately. I do have quite a record on this, which I think we have never discussed.

But my major point is that we should go after those suits where there is immediate possibility of return. There are such.

I have a notion that after what CBS begins tonight there will be other areas we have not discussed. (I expect Adrian Alba to be the mystery many. I did this work and sent copies to Hock). If they say there is really nothing new and restirct themslves to the distant past is there not still other damage when I have offered them this new material and they have been informed of it internally? When I have a book just out and prior to their shows they received press release on it? And are the only radio net not to call me.

My belief is that while problem remain, because tney always existed and because we never got down to adaressing them, prospects are pretty good. If we can find time to concentrate on what holds promise and receive the necessary help where it appears to be needed, solutions, trmporary or more,are possible.

For both of us.

Can we get to them?

Best,

P.S. Even miney it is Adrian Alba on CBS asthe rifle man-seller.